



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 4

BAKER BOTTS, LLP
910 LOUISIANA
HOUSTON TX 77002-4995

COPY MAILED

JUN 17 2004

In re Application of
Mohamed M. Haq
Application No. 09/918,413
Filed: July 30, 2001
Attorney Docket No. 069089.0103

: **OFFICE OF PETITIONS**
:
: **DECISION ON PETITION**
: **UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6)**
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6), filed October 13, 2003, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) for the benefit of a prior-filed provisional application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

A petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and must be filed during the pendency of the nonprovisional application. In addition, the petition must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(i) to the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;¹
- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The instant pending nonprovisional application was filed on July 30, 2001, within twelve months of the filing date of the prior provisional application, Application No. 60/269,051, which was filed on February 15, 2001, and for which priority is claimed. A reference to the prior-filed provisional application has been included in an amendment to the first sentence of the specification following the title.

¹ Any nonprovisional application claiming the benefit of one or more prior-filed provisional applications must contain or be amended to contain a reference (i.e., in an amendment to the first line of the specification following the title or in an application data sheet (ADS)) to each such prior-filed provisional application, identifying it as a provisional application, and including the application number (consisting of the series code and serial number).

However, the amendment is not acceptable as drafted since it improperly incorporates by reference the prior-filed application. Petitioner's attention is directed to Dart Industries v. Banner, 636 F.2d 684, 207 USPQ 273 (C.A.D.C. 1980), where the court drew a distinction between a permissible 35 U.S.C. § 120 statement and the impermissible introduction of new matter by way of incorporation by reference in a 35 U.S.C. § 120 statement. The court specifically stated:

Section 120 merely provides a mechanism whereby an application becomes entitled to benefit of the filing date of an earlier application disclosing the same subject matter. Common subject matter must be disclosed, in both applications, either specifically or by an express incorporation-by-reference of prior disclosed subject matter. Nothing in section 120 itself operates to carry forward any disclosure from an earlier application. In re deSeversky, *supra* at 674, 177 USPQ at 146-147. Section 120 contains no magical disclosure-augmenting powers able to pierce new matter barriers. It cannot, therefore, "limit" the absolute and express prohibition against new matter contained in section 251.

In order for the incorporation by reference statement to be effective as a proper safeguard against the omission of a portion of a prior application, the incorporation by reference statement must be included in the specification-as-filed, or in an amendment specifically referred to in an oath or declaration executing the application. *See In re de Seversky, supra*. *Note also* MPEP 201.06(c).

Accordingly, before the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) can be granted, a substitute amendment² deleting the incorporation by reference statement, along with a renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6), is required.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop PETITION
Commissioner for Patents
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand: Customer Window located at:
2011 South Clark Place
Crystal Plaza Two Lobby
Room 1B03
Arlington, VA 22202

Central Fax: (703) 872-9306
ATTN: Office of Petitions

²Note 37 CFR 1.121

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (703) 305-8859. .

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Karen Creasy".

Karen Creasy
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy